www.taxrealtime.in

<u> Court No. - 5</u>

Case :- WRIT TAX No. - 48 of 2023

Petitioner: - M.S Tiwari Industries Thru. Proprietor Govind Mani Tiwari Lko.

Respondent: - Union Of India Thru. Secy. Ministry Finance New Delhi And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Sanjieva Shankhdhar, Durga Prasad Dubey

Counsel for Respondent :- A.S.G.I.,C.S.C.

Hon'ble Vivek Chaudhary, J.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the State.

Present petition has been filed challenging the order dated 23.01.2021 passed by the respondent no.6 whereby GST registration of the petitioner has been cancelled and the order dated 06.02.2023, whereby the Appeal No.86 of 2022, U.P. Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017 filed against the cancellation of registration has been dismissed.

The facts, in brief, are that the petitioner is the sole proprietary of the firm engaged in the business of motor work and was registered under GST Act. It appears that the GST return was not filed by the counsel and thereafter a show cause notice dated 10.01.2022 was issued, directing the petitioner to furnish a reply to the notice within seven working days from the date of service of the notice.

The case of the petitioner is that he has not been able to get the show cause notice issued by the respondent and, therefore, he could not submit the reply within the stipulated time and, thus, an order came to be passed on 23.01.2021 whereby registration of the petitioner was cancelled. Against the said order, the petitioner filed an appeal which was dismissed by the appellate authority on the ground of delay.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order dated 23.01.2021 is self contradictory as in one line it is said that petitioner has submitted his reply to the show cause notice dated 14.01.2021 while in the very next line it is noted that petitioner has not submitted reply to the show cause notice.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since he has not been heard while passing the order dated 23.01.2021 as such the present petitioner is also entitled for the benefit of the order passed by this Court in **Writ Tax No.145 of 2022** (*Technosum India Pvt. Ltd. Lucknow Vs. Union of India and others*) dated

26.09.2022. In the said judgment, the Court has held that the impugned order

does not assign any reason whatsoever for cancelling registration of the

petitioner and is passed only on the ground that reply to the show cause notice is

not given. The non-submission of reply to the show cause cannot be a ground for

cancellation of the registration. The relevant Paragraphs 9 and 10, of the

judgment in **Technosum India Pvt. Ltd. Lucknow (Supra)**, read as under:-

"9. The Counsel for the petitioner argues that the appeal has been dismissed as

being beyond limitation as such the doctrine of merger would not apply and the

petitioner is fair entitled to seek judicial review of the order dated 03.01.2022

on the ground that the same is non speaking order. This Court while deciding

Writ Tax No.147 of 2022 (M/S Chandrasen, Sarda Nagar, Lucknow vs Union of

India and others) had held that the order of cancellation of registration or any

other order passed either on administrative or on judicial side is without any

reason and prima facie, without application of mind, the same does not stand

the test of scrutiny under Article 14 of the Constitution of India.

10. Thus, following the said judgment rendered in the case of M/s Chandrasen

(Supra), the writ petition deserves to be allowed."

In view thereof, the present petitioner is also entitled for the same relief. The

benefit of the order dated 26.9.2022 passed in **Writ Tax No.145 of 2022**, shall

also be made available to the present petitioner.

Accordingly, the present petition is *allowed*.

The order dated 23.01.2021 as well as the appellate order dated 06.02.2023, is

set aside and the petitioner is permitted to appear before the respondent along

with the reply to show cause notice and the certified copy of this order as well as

the copy of the judgment passed in Writ Tax No.145 of 2022 (Technosum India

Pvt. Ltd. Lucknow Vs. Union of India and others) dated 26.09.2022, within three

weeks from today. In case, the petitioner appears along with the reply and the

certified copy of this order, the respondents shall proceed to pass a fresh order in

accordance with law.

[Vivek Chaudhary J.]